

The lecture is clearly structured.	fully applies	60.6% 30.3% 9.1% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	does not apply	n=33 mw=1.5 md=1 s=0.7
The lecture can be followed-up well with the material (script, textbook, handouts,) available.	fully applies	31.3% 34.4% 28.1% 6.3% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	does not apply	n=32 mw=2.1 md=2 s=0.9
I have prior knowledge for this lecture.	fully applies	31.3% 34.4% 15.6% 12.5% 6.3% 1 2 3 4 5	does not apply	n=32 mw=2.3 md=2 s=1.2 E.=1
The examples chosen facilitate understanding the lecture's contents.	fully applies	31.3% 50% 12.5% 6.3% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	does not apply	n=32 mw=1.9 md=2 s=0.8
The lecturer sums up the contents in appropriate intervals.	fully applies	37.5% 40.6% 21.9% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	does not apply	n=32 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.8
The level of difficulty is				
	too high		0%	n=32
	appropriate		96.9%	
	too low ()		3.1%	
	no comment		0%	
I would grade the lecture with:				
	1 - very good (A)		46.9%	n=32 mw=1.7
	2 - good (B)		40.6%	s=0.7
	3 - satisfactory (C)		12.5%	
	4 - sufficient (D)		0%	
	5 - insufficient (F)		0%	
Communication and Behaviour				
The lecturer				
General Conditions				
How many times has the lecture been cancelled on reg	gular dates? (not hol	idays!)		
	0 x (67.9%	n=28
	1 x [14.3%	
	2 x 🗌		14.3%	
	3 x 🗍		3.6%	
	4 x		0%	
	5 x		0%	
	6 x		0%	
	more than 6 x		0%	

Are there any external conditions which impair you during or regarding t (you may check several boxes and use 5.1 for more comments)?	he lecture		
dir	t	6.1% ⁿ⁼	=33
bad ventilation		51.5%	
over-crowded		0%	
noise pollution	0	3%	
bad acoustics	0	3%	
bad lighting conditions		24.2%	
uncomfortable seating		51.5%	
organisational problems	3	0%	
lack of accessibility	y	0%	
The lecturer has been substituted properly?		9.4% ⁿ⁼ 3.1% 87.5%	=32
How many times did the lecturer cancel the class? 0> 1> 2> 3> 4> 5> more than 6>			=28

Special Suggestions, Critique, Wishes:

For further questions and proposals about the student course evaluation lehre@rwth-aachen.de.

Thank you very much for participating in the survey!

Profillinie


Teilbereich:

T

Name der/des Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) Informatik Univ.Prof. Dr.-Ing. Klaus Wehrle Advanced Internet Technology (11ws-12522) (Lecture)

Lecture Concept

Lecture Concept		
I realise what the lecture is good for.	fully applies	does not mw=1.5 apply
The lecture is clearly structured.	fully applies	does not mw=1.5 apply
The lecture can be followed-up well with the material (script, textbook, handouts,) available.	fully applies	does not ⁿ⁼³² mw=2.1 apply
I have prior knowledge for this lecture.	fully applies	does not mw=2.3 apply
The examples chosen facilitate understanding the lecture's contents.	fully applies	does not mw=1.9 apply
The lecturer sums up the contents in appropriate intervals	. fully applies	does not ⁿ⁼³² mw=1.8 apply



is available outside lecture times, e.g. during business hours or by email.	fully applies	71.4% 21.4% 7.1% 0% 1 2 3 4	0%	does not apply	n=14 mw=1.4 md=1 s=0.6 E.=18
The employment of auxiliary materials (blackboard, overhead projector, projector, demonstrations,) is appropriate.	fully applies	37.5% 56.3% 3.1% 3.1% 1 2 3 4	0%	does not apply	n=32 mw=1.7 md=2 s=0.7
Handwriting and drawings are legible.	fully applies	32.3% 58.1% 9.7% 0%	0%	does not apply	n=31 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.6 E.=2
Blackboard texts and transparencies are clearly arranged.	fully applies	<u>39.3%</u> <u>42.9%</u> <u>17.9%</u> <u>0%</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u> <u>4</u>	0%	does not apply	n=28 mw=1.8 md=2 s=0.7 E.=3
The pace is					
	too fast []			3.2%	n=31
	appropriate			90.3%	
	too slow			6.5%	
	no comment			0%	
Do you have the feeling that you are being disadvantage	jed in the lecture (pl	lease insert your comment	t in 5.1)?		
	yes 🏾			3.2%	n=31
	no [93.5%	
	no comment ()			3.2%	
I would grade the lecturer with:					
-	1 - very good (A)			64.5%	n=31 mw=1.5
	2 - good (B)			25.8%	s=0.8
	3 - satisfactory (C)) 		6.5%	
	4 - sufficient (D)			3.2%	
	5 - insufficient (F)			0%	
General Conditions					
The lecture usually starts on time.					
	yes			90.9%	n=33
	no]		6.1%	
	no comment			3%	
The lecture usually ends on time.					
	yes			87.5%	n=32
	no			9.4%	
	no comment			3.1%	

Special Suggestions, Critique, Wishes:

For further questions and proposals about the student course evaluation lehre@rwth-aachen.de.

Thank you very much for participating in the survey!

Profillinie

Teilbereich:

Name der/des Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) Informatik Univ.Prof. Dr.-Ing. Klaus Wehrle

Advanced Internet Technology (11ws-12522) (Lecture)

Communication and Behaviour

n=32 mw=1.6 ... imparts the contents in an intelligible manner. fully applies does not apply n=33 mw=1.4 ... responds with great care to guestions of fully applies does not understanding. apply n=30 mw=1.7 ... considers the different levels of knowledge of fully applies does not the students. apply n=30 mw=1.7 ... gets me enthusiastic about the topic of the fully applies does not lecture. apply n=33 mw=1.2 ... speaks loudly and clearly in an appropriate fully applies does not manner. apply n=33 mw=1.6 does not ... speaks proper, comprehensible English. fully applies apply n=27 mw=1.5 fully applies does not ... is open to improvement suggestions. apply n=14 mw=1.4 ... is available outside lecture times, e.g. during fully applies does not business hours or by email. apply n=32 mw=1.7 The employment of auxiliary materials (blackboard, fully applies does not overhead projector, projector, demonstrations, ...) is apply appropriate. n=31 mw=1.8 Handwriting and drawings are legible. fully applies does not apply n=28 mw=1.8 Blackboard texts and transparencies are clearly arranged. fully applies does not apply

Profillinie

Teilbereich:

Ŧ

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: Advanced Internet Technology (11ws-12522) (Name der Umfrage)

Informatik Name der/des Lehrenden: Univ.Prof. Dr.-Ing. Klaus Wehrle

Communication and Behaviour

mw=1.6